

(2) The participant was granted an exception, under the procedures set forth in § 1650.22, to the requirement to obtain that spouse's signature for a withdrawal election made by the participant within one year of the date the form requesting the change is processed by the TSP.

(b) The spouse of a married participant covered by CSRS who has made a withdrawal election and who wants to change to another withdrawal election must be notified again prior to the withdrawal, unless the participant was granted an exception, under the procedures set forth in § 1650.21, to the spouse notice requirement within one year of the date the form requesting the change is processed by the TSP.

**§ 1650.21 Executive Director's exception to requirement to notify the spouse.**

(a) Wherever in the regulations in this subpart it is required that the Executive Director give notice of an action to the spouse of a participant, an exception to this requirement may be granted in cases in which the participant establishes to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the spouse's whereabouts cannot be determined. A request for an exception based on whereabouts unknown must be submitted to the Executive Director on Form TSP-16, Exception to Spousal Requirements, accompanied by one of the following:

(1) A judicial determination (court order) which states that the spouse's whereabouts cannot be determined;

(2) A police or Governmental agency determination that is signed by the appropriate department or division head which states that the spouse's whereabouts cannot be determined; or

(3) Statements by the participant and two other persons.

(i) Each statement must be signed and dated and must state the following:

I understand that a false statement or willful misrepresentation is punishable under Federal Law (18 U.S.C. 1001) by a fine or imprisonment or both.

(ii) The participant's statement must give the full name of his or her spouse, declare the inability to locate the spouse, and state the efforts made to locate the spouse. Negative statements such as "I have not seen or heard from him/her" or "I have had no contact with him/her" are not sufficient. Examples of attempting to locate the spouse include checking with relatives and mutual friends or using telephone directories or directory assistance for the city of last known address.

(iii) The statements from two other persons must support the participant's statement that the participant does not

know the whereabouts of his or her spouse.

(b) A withdrawal election received within one year of an approved exception may be processed so long as the spouse named on the form is the spouse for whom the exception has been approved.

(c) The requirements for establishing an exception for a withdrawal and the one-year period of validity of an approved exception apply to exceptions for loans under 5 CFR 1655.18.

**§ 1650.22 Executive Director's exception to the requirement to obtain the spouse's signature.**

(a) In this subpart, if the spouse's signature is required, the Executive Director may grant an exception to this requirement if the participant can show that:

(1) The spouse's whereabouts cannot be determined in accordance with the provisions of § 1650.21; or

(2) Due to exceptional circumstances, requiring the spouse's signature would otherwise be inappropriate.

(i) An exception to the spousal signature requirement may be granted based on exceptional circumstances only when the participant presents a judicial determination (court order) or a governmental agency determination signed by the appropriate department or division head. A court order or a determination must contain a finding or a recitation of such exceptional circumstances regarding the spouse as would warrant an exception to the signature requirement.

(ii) Exceptional circumstances is narrowly construed and includes such circumstances as when a court order:

(A) Indicates that the spouse and the participant have been maintaining separate residences with no financial relationship for three or more years;

(B) Indicates that the spouse abandoned the participant, but for religious or similarly compelling reasons, the parties chose not to divorce; or

(C) Expressly states that the participant may obtain a loan from his or her Thrift Savings Plan account or withdraw his or her Thrift Savings Plan account balance notwithstanding the absence of the spouse's signature.

(b) A withdrawal election received within one year of an approved exception may be processed so long as the spouse named on the form is the spouse for whom the exception has been approved.

(c) The requirements for establishing an exception for a withdrawal and the one-year period of validity of an

approved exception apply to exceptions for loans under 5 CFR 1655.18.

[FR Doc. 95-4062 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6760-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

#### 7 CFR PART 1240

[AMS-FV-93-704CF]

RIN 0581-AB23

#### Honey Research, Promotion, and Consumer Information Order and Rules and Regulations Issued Thereunder; Termination of Order Provision and Conforming Correction of the Rules and Regulations

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule finalizes an interim final rule which terminated a provision of the Honey Research, Promotion, and Consumer Information Order (Order) and deleted conflicting and confusing language in the Rules and Regulations issued under the Order. This action is being taken to clarify and correct the Order and rules and regulations which were amended in August 1991.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 23, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sonia N. Jimenez, Research and Promotion Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, Room 2535-So., Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone (202) 720-9915.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** These amendments are issued pursuant to the Honey Research, Promotion, and Consumer Information Act, as amended on November 28, 1990 [104 Stat. 3904, 7 U.S.C. 4601 *et seq.*], hereinafter referred to as the Act.

The Department is issuing this final rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice reform. It is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any state or local laws, regulation, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 10 of the Act, a person subject to an order may file a petition with the Secretary stating that such order, any provision of such order, or any

obligation imposed in connection with such order is not in accordance with law; and requesting a modification of the order or an exemption from the order. Such person is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing, the Secretary would rule in the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which such person is an inhabitant, or has a principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided that a compliant is filed within 20 days after the date of entry of the ruling.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities.

There are an estimated 145 handlers, 510 producer-packers, 8,300 producers, and 350 importers who are currently subject to the provisions of the Order. The majority of these persons may be classified as small agricultural producers and small agricultural service firms. Small agricultural producers are defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000, and small agricultural service firms, which include importers, are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$5,000,000.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1980 [44 U.S.C. chapter 35], and OMB regulations [5 CFR Part 1320], the information collection and recordkeeping requirements contained in this action were submitted to the OMB and approved under OMB control numbers 0581-0093 and 0505-0001.

On November 28, 1990, the Act was amended by the Food, Agriculture, Conservation and Trade Act of 1990. One of the amendments to the Act redefined the requirements for honey that is exempted from assessments under the Act.

Prior to the Act's 1990 amendment, a producer or a producer-packer who produced or handled or produced and handled less than 6,000 pounds of honey per year or an importer who imported less than 6,000 pounds of honey per year were exempt from assessment. Such producers, producer-handlers, and importers applied to the Honey Board for a certificate of exemption which would be presented to the handler of the exemptee's honey. Reporting requirements for handlers included listing those producers claiming exemption.

Under the 1990 amendment to the Act, however, producers, producer-packers, and importers who produce or import during any year less than 6,000 pounds of honey are exempt from paying assessments only if that honey is (1) Consumed at home, (2) donated by the producer or importer to a nonprofit, government, or other entity that is determined appropriate by the Secretary, or (3) distributed directly through local retail outlets (e.g., farmers markets and roadside stands).

Since exempted honey may no longer be sold through handlers, handlers are no longer required to provide information to the Board on exempted honey. However, in the amendment to the Order and rules and regulations published as a final rule in the August 7, 1991, **Federal Register** [50 FR 37453], conforming changes to sections 1240.50 and 1240.114 which incorporated these changes to the Act were inadvertently not made. As published, these sections may be confusing and are in conflict with the amended Order and rules and regulations.

Section 13 of the Act provides that whenever the Secretary finds that any provision of any order issued under the Act obstructs or does not tend to effectuate the declared purpose of the Act, the Secretary shall terminate such provisions. Therefore, an interim final rule deleted obsolete and confusing language from paragraph (a) of section 1240.50 of the Order and from paragraph (b) of section 1240.114 of the regulations issued under the Order.

The interim final rule with request for comments was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 1994 (59 FR 22492). The interim final rule erroneously stated that comment were due on May 2, 1994. Therefore, the **Federal Register** printed a correction on May 10, 1994 (59 FR 24217) which stated that the comment period ended on June 1, 1994. No comments were received.

Based on the above, the Administrator of the AMS has determined that the issuance of this final rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities.

After consideration of all relevant material presented with regard to the termination of provisions in the Order and the rules and regulations as hereinafter set forth, it is found that these provisions no longer effectuate the declared policy of the Act. Accordingly, the interim final rule is finalized, without change, as published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 22492, May 2, 1994).

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1240

Advertising, Agricultural research, Honey, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### PART 1240—HONEY RESEARCH, PROMOTION, AND CONSUMER INFORMATION

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 1240, which was published at 59 FR 22492 on May 2, 1994, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: February 13, 1995.

**Patricia Jensen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary, Marketing and Inspection Services.*

[FR Doc. 95-4175 Filed 2-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

#### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

##### 9 CFR Part 91

[Docket No. 93-122-2]

#### Animal Export Inspection Facilities

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are amending the "Inspection and Handling of Livestock for Exportation" regulations by establishing additional standards for export inspection facilities. This action requires that all export inspection facilities have running water and water drainage systems and a telephone. This action also requires facilities where horses are inspected to have walkways in front of stalls and 12 foot high ceilings in areas where horses are inspected.

We are also requiring that animals intended for export be inspected within 24 hours of embarkation and making a minor language change to the regulations for the sake of clarity.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 23, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Najam Faizi, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services, Import-Export Animals Staff, 4700 River Road Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231. Telephone: (301) 734-8383.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The regulations in 9 CFR part 91, "Inspection and Handling of Livestock for Exportation" (referred to below as the regulations), prescribe conditions for exporting animals from the United